EALTA Symposium on Validity

Claudia Harsch

Professor at the University of Bremen
Director of the Languages Centre of the Universities in the Land Bremen

harsch@uni-Bremen.de

Practitioner's perspective

How can teachers in classroom contexts develop valid assessment tasks that reflect the learning outcomes and teaching goals, as well as the target language uses?

Validity as concept

- Are instruments measuring what they are supposed to measure?
- Are test scores interpreted and used in the proposed way?
- Do test results indicate that test takers can use the language in the *intended* way (TLU)?

Implications

supposed to, proposed, intended:

- we have a plan, a design, a clear idea about what instruments are to measure (construct),
- we have an idea about how scores are to be interpreted and used (purpose, use)
- we have an idea about what the scores are to indicate (consequences)

Teachers in classrooms – we need to develop understanding of these implications

- clear understanding, definition of the construct
 - > learning outcomes, goals from curricula, reflect what is taught in classroom
- clear idea about intended interpretation and use
 - >purpose diagnosis, achievement, proficiency, placement?
- good grasp of what scores should indicate
 - rightharpoonup are students well prepared for the intended course aims, e.g. can survive in target language, can interpret literature, can work in academic context...

Focus and research in classroom



- tasks need to elicit TLU and learning goals
 - > examine cognitive processes and language output
- valid scoring, rating
 - raters > examine behaviour, perceptions of test takers, interlocutors, raters
- appropriate cut-score setting for the purposes in mind
 - right examine benchmarking, exam demands and student work
- look at consequences, e.g. preparatory / predictive validity
 - right level or do cut-scores need to be adjusted?